

**F.M. Ablyev, M.F. Ablyev, A.V. Vasiliev**

**Title: QUANTUM HASHING**

**Abstract:**

In [1] we explicitly defined a notion of quantum hashing as a generalization of classical hashing and presented examples of quantum hash functions. It appeared that Gottesman-Chuang quantum signature scheme [2] is based on the functions which are actually quantum hash functions. Unlike classical hash functions that are secure under some computational assumptions, these functions have "unconditionally one-way" property based on Holevo Theorem [3].

A good source of information on the state of now days development in area of cryptographic hashing and quantum signatures presented the review paper [4].

Recall that in the classical setting a cryptographic hash function  $h$  should be computed efficiently and should have the following properties (see for example [4]). (1) Pre-image resistance: Given  $h(x)$ , it should be difficult to find  $x$ , that is, these hash functions are one-way functions. (2) Second pre-image resistance: Given  $x_1$ , it should be difficult to find an  $x_2$ , such that  $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$ . (3) Collision resistance: It should be difficult to find any pair of distinct  $x_1, x_2$ , such that  $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$ . Note, that there are no classical one-way functions that are known to be provably more difficult to invert than to compute, the security of such cryptographic hash functions is "computationally conditional".

In the talk we consider a quantum hash function construction based on epsilon-biased sets [5]. Such a function hashes elements of finite field  $F_q$  into the  $s$ -qubit quantum states. The notion of  $(\delta, \epsilon)$ -hash function combines the notion of pre-image (one-way) quantum  $\delta$ -resistance property and the notion of quantum collision  $\epsilon$ -resistance property. These properties are quantum generalizations of classical one-way resistance and collision resistance properties required for classical hash functions.

An important part of the one-way property is computational efficiency. In this paper we show that the considered construction of quantum  $(\delta, \epsilon)$ -hash function can be computed efficiently in the model of Quantum Branching Programs (QBP). We consider two complexity measures: a number of qubits  $\text{Mem}(Q)$  that a QBP  $Q$  uses for computation and a number of computational steps  $\text{Time}(Q)$  that  $Q$  performs. Such a QBP for our hash function requires  $s = O(\log\{\log q\})$  qubits and performs  $\log q$  steps. Note that a number  $\text{Time}(Q)$  of computational steps corresponds to query complexity of quantum algorithm  $Q$ .

We prove that the proposed QBP construction is optimal. That is, we prove lower bounds of  $\Omega(\log\{\log q\})$  for the memory  $\text{Mem}(Q)$  and  $\Omega(\log\{q\})$  for the time  $\text{Time}(Q)$  of quantum  $(\delta, \epsilon)$ -hash function implementation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abelayev and A. Vasiliev, Laser Physics Letters 11, p. 025202 (2014).
- [2] D. Gottesman and I. Chuang, "Quantum digital signatures," arXiv:quant-ph/0105032.
- [3] A.S. Holevo, Probl. Pered. Inform. [Probl. Inf. Transm.] 9, 3-11 (1973).
- [4] R. Amiri and E. Andersson, Entropy 17, 5635-5659 (2015), arXiv:1508.01893.
- [5] J. Naor and M. Naor, "Small-bias probability spaces: Efficient constructions and applications" in Proceedings of the Twenty-second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '90 (ACM, USA, 1990), pp. 213-223.